## United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



## BRIEF FOR APPELLEE

## **ORIGINAL**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

MARGARET MARY MCDONNELL MURPHY,

Plaintiff-Appellant,:

-against-

McDONNELL & CO., INCORPORATED and : THE NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE by ROBERT W. HAACK, President, :

Defendants,:

JAMES F. McDONNELL, JR., individually, : as Trustee under the Will of James F. McDonnell and as Executor of the : Estate of Anna M. McDonnell, and CHARLES E. McDONNELL, as Executor of : the Estate of Anna M. McDonnell,

Plaintiff-Appellants,

-against-

THE NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE by ROBERT W. HAACK, THE NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE, INC., THE AMERICAN STOCK EXCHANGE by H. VERNON LEE, JR., Secretary, and McDONNELL & CO., INC.,

Defendants.



76-7278



BRIEF OF APPELLEE McDONNELL & CO., INC.

PROSKAUER ROSE GOETZ & MENDELSOHN
ATTORNEYS
300 PARK AVENUE
NEW YORK, N. Y. 10022

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT MARGARET MARY McDONNELL MURPHY, Plaintiff-Appellant,: -against-McDONNELL & CO., INCORPORATED and : 76-7278 THE NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE by ROBERT W. HAACK, President, Defendants,: JAMES F. McDONNELL, JR., individually, : as Trustee under the Will of James F. McDonnell and as Executor of the Estate of Anna M. McDonnell, and CHARLES E. McDONNELL, as Executor of the Estate of Anna M. McDonnell, Plaintiff-Appellants, -against-THE NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE by ROBERT W. HAACK, THE NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE, INC., THE AMERICAN STOCK EXCHANGE by H. VERNON LEE, JR., Secretary, and McDONNELL & CO., INC., Defendants.

## BRIEF OF APPELLEE McDONNELL & CO., INC.

Appellant, the Estate of Anna M. McDonnell ("Anna McDonnell"), advances a lone reason in support of its contention

that the judgment in favor of Appellee McDonnell & Co., Inc. ("McDonnell & Co.") should be reversed (Appellants' Brief, pp. 38-40).

Since, in its brief, Appellee The New York Stock

Exchange (the "Exchange") has responded to and completely

disposed of Anna McDonnell's argument, in an effort to avoid

unnecessary duplication, and pursuant to Rule 28(i) of the

Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, McDonnell & Co. adopts

Point III C of the Exchange's brief and for the same reasons as

are stated therein, respectfully requests that the judgment of

the district court as to the claim of Anna McDonnell against

McDonnell & Co. should be affirmed.

Dated: New York, New York November 8, 1976

Respectfully submitted,

PROSKAUER ROSE GOETZ & MENDELSOHN Attorneys for Appellee McDonnell & Co., Inc. 300 Park Avenue New York, New York 10022 Attorness Partiffs appellants
Date 12:50 PM
Two (a) Copies Received.

Two copies vectored

1/8/16

Lod Day & Lord

By John of American Stack Exchange

A Horrey of American Stack Exchange

2 copies for Sweed thaley & hellog

millarly for NYSIE

millarly for NYSIE